

# On Tensor Distinction of Non-Ferroelastic Domains

D. B. LITVIN

Department of Physics  
 The Eberly College of Science  
 The Pennsylvania State University  
 Penn State Berks Campus  
 P.O. Box 7009  
 Reading, PA 19610-6009, USA

V. JANOVEC

Technical University of Liberec  
 Hálkova 6, 461 17 Liberec 1  
 Czech Republic

Received August 8, 2003; in final form January 5, 2004

*We show that for any two non-ferroelastic domains arising in a phase transition, it is always possible to find a coordinate system in which the two domains can be distinguished by the sign of a spontaneous component of a property-tensor. Application of these general results is illustrated by an example which shows possible optical distinction of non-ferroelastic domains.*

**Keywords** Domains; tensor distinction; non-ferroelastic

**AIP classification:** 02.20.–a; 62.20.Dc; 77.80.Dj; 78.20.–e

## 1. Introduction

Consider a phase transition between phases of symmetry  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$ . The crystal splits into  $n = |\mathbf{G}|/|\mathbf{F}|$  single domain states denoted by  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  and the symmetry group of each single domain state is denoted, respectively, as  $\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}_2, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n$ . Writing the coset decomposition of  $\mathbf{G}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{F}$  as  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F} + g_2\mathbf{F} + \dots + g_n\mathbf{F}$  we have for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $S_i = g_i S_1$  and  $\mathbf{F}_i = g_i \mathbf{F} g_i^{-1}$ . A domain pair  $(S_i, S_k)$  is called a non-ferroelastic domain pair if the two single domain states have the identical spontaneous strain. Strain is a physical property tensor of the type  $[V^2]$ . The form of this type of tensor for each of the 32 point groups depends only on the crystal family of the point group:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \begin{pmatrix} A & D & E \\ D & B & F \\ E & F & C \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} A & D & \\ D & B & C \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & B & \\ & & C \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & A & \\ & & C \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & A & \\ & & A \end{pmatrix} \\
 \text{(a)} & \text{(b)} & \text{(c)} & \text{(d)} & \text{(e)}
 \end{array}$$

Address correspondence to D. B. Litvin, Department of Physics, The Eberly College of Science, The Pennsylvania State University, Penn State Berks Campus, P.O. Box 7009, Reading, PA 19610-6009, USA.  
 E-mail: u3c@psu.edu

(a) Triclinic	1, $\bar{1}$
(b) Monoclinic	2, m, $2/m$
(c) Orthorhombic	222, mm2, $mmm$
(d) Tetragonal	4, $\bar{4}$ , $4/m$ , $422$ , $4mm$ , $\bar{4}2m$ , $6/mmm$
Hexagonal	$3, \bar{3}$ , $32$ , $3m, \bar{3}m, 6, \bar{6}, 6/m, 622, 6mm, \bar{6}m2, 6/mmm$
(e) Cubic	$23, m\bar{3}, 432, \bar{4}3m, m\bar{3}m$

We denote the crystal family of a point group  $\mathbf{F}$  by  $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$ .  $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$  is the boxed group in each of the rows given above.

The form of the  $[V^2]$  tensor invariant under  $\mathbf{F}$  depends only on  $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$ .  $\mathbf{F}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$ . Let  $\mathbf{F} \subset \text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$  and  $g_2$  an element of  $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$  not contained in  $\mathbf{F}$ , then  $\mathbf{F} + g_2\mathbf{F} \subseteq \text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$ .

For a non-ferroelastic domain pair  $[1, 2]$  ( $S_1, S_k$ ), the symmetry group  $\mathbf{F}$  of  $S_1$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$ .  $\mathbf{F}$  is also the symmetry group of  $S_k$ . There exists an element  $g_{ik}$  of  $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$  such that  $g_{ik}S_1 = S_k$  and  $g_{ik}S_k = S_1$  and the domain pair is characterized by a twin law [3]  $\mathbf{J}_{ik} = \mathbf{F} + g_{ik}\mathbf{F}$  where  $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{J}_{ik}) = \text{Fam}(\mathbf{F})$ . The form  $T(i)$  of a physical property tensor of type  $T$  in domain  $S_1$  is invariant under  $\mathbf{F}$  and, in  $S_k$ ,  $T(k) = g_{ik}T(i)$ . The tensor distinction between the two domains is determined by the element  $g_{ik}$ .

The twin laws of all non-ferroelastic domain pairs are classified into 43 classes [4]:

$\mathbf{J}_{ik}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$g_{ik}$	$\mathbf{J}_{ik}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$g_{ik}$
1) $\bar{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\bar{1}$	23) $6_z 2_x 2_1$	$3_z 2_x$	$2_z$
2) $2_z/m_z$	$2_z$	$\bar{1}$	24) $\bar{6}_z m_1 2_x$	$3_z 2_x$	$m_z$
3) $2_z/m_z$	$m_z$	$\bar{1}$	25) $\bar{3}_z m_x$	$3_z m_x$	$\bar{1}$
4) $m_x m_y m_z$	$2_x 2_y 2_z$	$\bar{1}$	26) $6_z m_x m_1$	$3_z m_x$	$2_z$
5) $m_x m_y m_z$	$m_x m_y 2_z$	$\bar{1}$	27) $\bar{6}_z m_x 2_1$	$3_z m_x$	$m_z$
6) $4_z/m_z$	$4_z$	$\bar{1}$	28) $6_z/m_z m_x m_1$	$\bar{3}_z m_x$	$2_z$
7) $4_z 2_x 2_{xy}$	$4_z$	$2_x$	29) $6_z/m_z$	$6_z$	$\bar{1}$
8) $4_z m_x m_{xy}$	$4_z$	$m_x$	30) $6_z 2_x 2_1$	$6_z$	$2_x$
9) $4_z/m_z$	$\bar{4}_z$	$\bar{1}$	31) $6_z m_x m_1$	$6_z$	$m_x$
10) $\bar{4}_z 2_x m_{xy}$	$\bar{4}_z$	$2_x$	32) $6_z/m_z$	$\bar{6}_z$	$\bar{1}$
11) $4_z/m_z m_x m_{xy}$	$4_z/m_z$	$2_x$	33) $\bar{6}_z m_x 2_1$	$\bar{6}_z$	$m_x$
12) $4_z/m_z m_x m_{xy}$	$4_z 2_x 2_{xy}$	$\bar{1}$	34) $6_z/m_z m_x m_1$	$6_z/m_z$	$2_x$
13) $4_z/m_z m_x m_{xy}$	$4_z m_x m_{xy}$	$2_x$	35) $6_z/m_z m_x m_1$	$6_z 2_x 2_1$	$\bar{1}$
14) $4_z/m_z m_x m_{xy}$	$\bar{4}_z 2_x m_{xy}$	$\bar{1}$	36) $6_z/m_z m_x m_1$	$6_z m_x m_1$	$\bar{1}$
15) $3_z 2_x$	$3_z$	$2_x$	37) $6_z/m_z m_x m_1$	$\bar{6}_z m_x 2_1$	$\bar{1}$
16) $3_z m_x$	$3_z$	$m_x$	38) $m_z \bar{3}_{xyz}$	$2_z \bar{3}_{xyz}$	$\bar{1}$
17) $\bar{3}_z$	$3_z$	$\bar{1}$	39) $4_z \bar{3}_{xyz} 2_{xy}$	$2_z \bar{3}_{xyz}$	$2_{xy}$
18) $6_z$	$3_z$	$2_z$	40) $\bar{4}_z \bar{3}_{xyz} m_{xy}$	$2_z \bar{3}_{xyz}$	$m_{xy}$
19) $\bar{6}_z$	$3_z$	$m_z$	41) $m_z \bar{3}_{xyz} m_{xy}$	$\bar{4}_z \bar{3}_{xyz} m_{xy}$	$\bar{1}$
20) $6_z/m_z$	$\bar{3}_z$	$2_z$	42) $m_z \bar{3}_{xyz} m_{xy}$	$4_z \bar{3}_{xyz} 2_{xy}$	$\bar{1}$
21) $\bar{3}_z m_x$	$\bar{3}_z$	$2_x$	43) $m_z \bar{3}_{xyz} m_{xy}$	$m_z \bar{3}_{xyz}$	$2_{xy}$
22) $\bar{3}_z m_x$	$3_z 2_x$	$\bar{1}$			

## 2. Tensor Distinction

Consider a non-ferroelastic domain pair  $(S_i, S_k)$ . The matrix form of the relationship between the forms of the tensor  $T$  in the two domains is

$$T(k)_a = D(g_{ik})_{a,b} T(i)_b \tag{1}$$

where  $T(i)_b$  are the components of the form of the tensor  $T$  in  $S_i$  and  $T(k)_a$  in  $S_k$ . We shall show: *For any two non-ferroelastic domains there exists a coordinate system in which the two domains can be distinguished by the sign of a component of a physical property tensor.*

We show the validity of this theorem using Eq. (1) and the above list of twin laws of non-ferroelastic domain pairs. We find that for every non-ferroelastic domain pair  $(S_i, S_k)$  there exists a coordinate system in which the matrix  $D(g_{ik})_{a,b}$  is diagonal with all entries along the diagonal either  $+1$  or  $-1$ . The theorem then follows from Eq. (1):

We choose a cartesian coordinate system  $x, y, z$ . In such a coordinate system each component of  $T_a$  can be indexed by a product  $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  where each  $a_j$  is  $x, y$ , or  $z$ . The matrix  $D_{ab}$  is then the product

$$D(g)_{ab} = \delta(g) V(g)_{a_1 b_1} \otimes V(g)_{a_2 b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes V(g)_{a_n b_n} \tag{2}$$

where  $V$  is the three-dimensional vector representation and  $\delta(g) = \det V(g)$ . If  $V(g_{ik})$  is diagonal, then  $D(g_{ik})$  is diagonal. In the above list, only when  $g_{ik} = 2_{xy}$  and  $m_{xy}$  is  $V(g_{ik})$  not diagonal. In these cases, classes 39, 40 and 43, one can rotate the coordinate system  $45^\circ$  about the  $z$ -axis where  $g_{ik}$  can now be taken as  $2_x$  and  $m_x$ , respectively. Consequently, in all cases there exists a coordinate system in which the matrix  $D(g_{ik})_{a,b}$  is diagonal. Since the diagonal entries on matrices  $V$  are either  $+1$  or  $-1$ , then, from equation (2), the entries on the diagonal matrix  $D(g)_{ab}$  are either  $+1$  or  $-1$ . If  $D(g_{ik})_{aa} = 1$  then the  $a$ th component of  $T$  can not distinguish between the domains. If  $D(g_{ik})_{aa} = -1$ , and  $T(i)_{aa} \neq 0$ , then the  $a$ th component does distinguish between the domains.

One can determine which components distinguish between two non-ferroelastic domains as follows: We define  $n_x, n_y$ , and  $n_z$  as the number of  $x, y$ , and  $z$ 's, respectively, in the index  $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ . From Eq. (2), we have:

$$D(g_{ik})_{aa} = \delta(g_{ik}) [V(g_{ik})_{xx}]^{n_x} [V(g_{ik})_{yy}]^{n_y} [V(g_{ik})_{zz}]^{n_z} \tag{3}$$

## 3. Tensor Distinction Example

Consider the twin law  $\bar{4}_z 3_{xyz} m_{xy} = 2_z 3_{xyz} + m_{xy} 2_z 3_{xyz}$  of a non-ferroelastic domain pair  $(S_i, S_k)$  and the quadratic susceptibility tensor, a physical property tensor of the type  $T = V^3$ . In a new coordinate system  $(x', y', z) = (x + y, x - y, z)$ , the twin law becomes  $\bar{4}_z 3_{x'y/z} m_{xy} = 2_z 3_{x'y/z} + m_{x'} 2_z 3_{x'y/z}$ . Using standard tables [5] one finds the form  $T(i)$  of the tensor  $V^3$  in domain  $S_i$ , invariant under  $2_z 3_{xyz}$ , to be

$$T(i)_{x'm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & M \\ 0 & 0 & N \\ M & -N & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad T(i)_{y'm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -N \\ 0 & 0 & -M \\ N & -M & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$T(i)_{zm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} M & N & 0 \\ -N & -M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $m', n' = x', y', z$ . Using Eq. (3), the matrix  $D(m_{x'})$  is of the form:

$$D(m_{x'})_{x'm'n',x'm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{m'n'}$$

$$D(m_{x'})_{y'm'n',y'm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{m'n'}$$

$$D(m_{x'})_{zm'n',zm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{m'n'}$$

Using Eq. (1), the form of the quadratic susceptibility tensor  $T(k) = D(m_{x'})T(i)$  is then, using Eq. (1),

$$T(k)_{x'm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -M \\ 0 & 0 & N \\ -M & -N & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad T(k)_{y'm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -N \\ 0 & 0 & M \\ N & M & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$T(k)_{zm'n'} = \begin{pmatrix} -M & N & 0 \\ -N & M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have the forms of the tensor in the domain pair in a coordinate system where the components are either the same or of opposite sign in the domains.

This material is based on work supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant No. DMR-0074550.

## References

1. V. Janovec, L. Richterová, and D. B. Litvin, Optical and x-ray distinction of ferroelectric non-ferroelastic domains. *Ferroelectrics* **126**, 287–292 (1992).
2. V. Janovec, L. Richterová, and D. B. Litvin, Non-ferroelastic twin laws and distinction of domains in non-ferroelastic phases. *Ferroelectrics* **140**, 95–100 (1993).
3. V. Janovec, Symmetry and structure of domain walls. *Ferroelectrics* **35**, 105–110 (1981).
4. D. B. Litvin and V. Janovec, Classification of domain pairs and tensor distinction. *Ferroelectrics* **222**, 87–93 (1999).
5. Yu. Sirotnin and M. P. Shaskolskaya, *Fundamentals of crystal physics*, (Moscow: Mir; 1982).